

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> <p>All Participants:</p> <p>(1) CHARLIE PENG, Primary Examiner.</p> <p>(2) Gregory Smith, Attorney for Applicant.</p> <p>Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2010</u></p> <p>Type of Interview:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)</p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Non-final rejection mailed 06 July 2009.</i></p> <p>Claims discussed: 1-21</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed:</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>The Examiner informed Mr. Smith that claims 9 and 21 are in allowance form and suggested canceling the remaining pending claims in order to place the application in allowance. Mr. Smith agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendment.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p>	<p>Application No. 10/537,717</p> <p>Examiner CHARLIE PENG</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p>BEER ET AL.</p> <p>Art Unit 2883</p>
--	--	---

Status of Application: Currently Closed

Time: 2 PM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)